



Application for Patient Decision Aid Certification INSTRUCTIONS

Please complete the application documents in the following order. Additional information can be submitted with the completed application.

- 1. **Developer and Decision Aid Background Information** Please provide background information for the following:
 - a. Applicant Information
 - b. Overview of Patient Decision Aid
- 2. **Patient Decision Aid Certification Criteria Checklist** Please fill out the checklist to indicate if the patient decision aid includes the certification criteria, and where in the aid they are located.
- 3. **Information about Decision Aid Development Process** Please provide detailed information for the following:
 - a. Funding Sources, Disclosures and Attestation
 - i. Fill out Attachment A, if applicable
 - ii. Fill out Attachment B, if applicable
 - b. Supporting Evidence
 - i. Fill out Attachment C, or a similar format, to indicated evidence used to inform development process
 - c. Testing
 - d. Design for Readability and Comprehension
 - e. Design for Diverse Patient Populations
 - f. Evaluation Practices

Submission- Once the application documents are completed, they can be submitted to the following email: shareddecisionmaking@hca.wa.gov. Please also ensure that the decision aids are sent along with the application. If you would prefer to submit your application materials by mail, the address is Washington Health Care Authority P.O. Box 45502, Olympia, WA 98504-5502 in care of Laura Pennington, Practice Transformation Manager.

Please email questions, comments, or concerns to shareddecisionmaking@hca.wa.gov.





Application for Patient Decision Aid Certification:

Part 1: Developer and Decision Aid Background Information

Please supply the following information. Incomplete or unclear materials will not be accepted for review.

A.	Applicant Information
1.	Title of Decision Aid:
2.	Name and address of developer organization: (if submitting as an individual(s) please list main point of contact and address)
3.	Name of author(s) and/or development team members with editorial content influence or control, including credentials and qualifications:
4.	If applicable, please list names and addresses of corporate or institutional officers of the applicant organization, and list parent, subsidiary or affiliated entities. Indicate for all whether organized as not for profit or for profit entity:
В.	Overview of Patient Decision Aid
1.	Subject matter/topic addressed in the Patient Decision Aid:

2.	Provide an overview of educational content included in the PDA, including the following, as relevant and appropriate:						
	Information about the condition						
	• Treatr	ment options presented, including:					
	0	Alternatives, including option to delay or decline treatment					
	0	Benefits and Harms described					
	0	Outcomes probabilities (if included)					
	• Value	s clarification					
<u> </u>							

3.	Describe the eligible/target audience for the PDA:
4.	Describe all formats in which the PDA is available and methods of use, including:
	 How the PDA can be accessed (web site openly available, secure login, etc.)
	 What modalities are available (paper, smart phone, tablet, etc.)
	 Intended context of use (in clinic with provider, etc.)
Su	bmit copies of all formats or provide links, as appropriate.
5.	Describe any costs or use restrictions, including:
	 Intellectual property rights (copyrights, public domain, etc.)
	 Availability (for use by anyone, exclusive use by specific organizations, etc.)
	 Pricing structure (free, one-time-cost, price per/license)
6.	List all languages in which the PDA is available:
7.	Provide the production release date, and if relevant, the date of most recent revision:





Part 2: Patient Decision Aid Certification Criteria Checklist The PDA *content* is reviewed against the following criteria

Please select the most appropriate response as it applies to each specific criterion and fully describe where in the PDA the applicable information is located. Include any additional information in the "Comments" column you feel is relevant to the consideration of the criterion.

Does the PDA adequately	YES	NO	N/A	Location In PDA ¹	Comments
Describe the health condition or problem					
2. Explicitly state the decision under consideration					
3. Identify the eligible or target audience					
Describe the options available for the decision, including non-treatment					
5. Describe the positive features of each option (benefits)					
Describe the negative features of each option (harms, side effects, disadvantages)					

¹ Location may be indicated by various methods, including a page number or timed location if in a video decision aid. This information will help reviewers rapidly locate and verify information in the PDA.





Does the PDA adequately	YES	NO	N/A	Location In PDA ²	Comments
7. Help patients clarify their values for outcomes of options by a) asking patients to consider or rate which positive and negative features matter most to them, AND/OR b) describing each option to help patients imagine the physical, social (e.g. impact on personal, family or work life), and/or psychological effects					
Make it possible to compare features of available options					
Show positive and negative features of options with balanced detail					
10. If outcome probabilities are included, allow comparison across options using the same denominator					
11. Provide information about the funding sources for development					
12. Include authors/developers' credentials or qualifications					
13. Provide date of most recent revision (or production)					

² Location may be indicated by various methods, including a page number or timed location if in a video decision aid. This information will help reviewers rapidly locate and verify information in the PDA.





Additional Criteria for Screening/Testing, if applicable

Does the PDA adequately	YES	NO	N/A	Location in PDA	Comments
14. Describe what the test is designed to measure					
15. Describe next steps taken if test detects a condition/problem					
16. Describe next steps if no condition/problem detected					
17. Describe consequences of detection that would not have caused problems if the screen was not done					
18. Include information about chances of true positive result					
19. Include information about chances of false positive result					
20. Include information about chances of true negative result					
21. Include information about chances of false negative result					





Application for Patient Decision Aid Certification:

Part 3: Information about Decision Aid Development Process

Please supply the following information:

A. Func	ling	Sources	. Disc	losures and	l Attestation
<i>,</i>				I O O OI I O O I I I O	

Conformance with certification requirements will be based on the information provided below. The applicable criteria are:

• Do the Patient Decision Aid and/or the accompanying external documentation adequately disclose and describe actual or potential financial or professional conflicts of interest?

	 Are the efforts used to eliminate bias fully described, and adequately reflected in the decision aid content?
	• Are listed developer entities and personnel free from listed disqualifications in Attachment A?
1.	Provide full, detailed disclosure about the funding source(s) used for development:
2.	Describe the editorial control, if any, the funder(s) had over final content:

3.	Report whether funding to develop or distribute the PDA has been received from individuals or entities, whether for profit or non-profit, that sell devices, treatment, or services described in the PDA. If yes, please describe the relationship and what efforts were used to eliminate bias:
4.	Describe whether and how the developer, including all team members or their organizational affiliates, stand to gain or lose by choices patients make using the patient decision aid:

5. Complete Attachment A: Applicant Debarment, Suspension and Exclusion Checklist Complete Attachment A: attestation for organization, parent, subsidiary or affiliated entities, project leads, and team members – no basic disqualifications (eg, criminal, Medicare/Medicaid sanctions, medical disciplinary sanctions) 6. Complete Attachment B: Team member Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form

This must be completed by the author(s) and/or development team members with editorial content influence or control

B: Supporting Evidence
Conformance with certification requirements will be based on the information provided below. The applicable criterion is: (PDA or external information)
 Has the Patient Decision Aid been developed and updated (if applicable) using current, high quality evidence in a systematic and unbiased fashion?
1. Describe steps to find, appraise, summarize evidence:

2.	Describe the quality of research evidence used:
3.	Provide citations to the research evidence used, using the Evidence Table Format provided in Appendix C, or a similar format
4.	Does the PDA use evidence from studies of patients similar to those of target audience? If no, why?

C: Testing
Conformance with certification requirements will be based on the information provided below. The applicable criterion is:
Did the developer test its decision aids with patients and incorporate those learnings into its tools?
1. Describe whether and how the developer elicited feedback from patients, including results:

2.	Describe whether and how the developer uses testing with providers, including results: (Responses
	will be used for informational purposes only.)
3.	Describe whether and how the developer uses testing with interpreters who work in healthcare
3.	
3.	Describe whether and how the developer uses testing with interpreters who work in healthcare settings, including results: (Responses will be used for informational purposes only.)
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	

Responses to the following will be used for informational purposes only.							
Describe design steps to ensure understanding by those with limited reading, health literacy and/or numeracy skills:							
2. Report readability levels and how measured:							

D: Design for Readability and Comprehension

3.	Describe whether PDA provides ways to help patients understand information other than by reading [audio, video, in person discussion]:
4.	Describe how, if applicable, the PDA provides information about level of uncertainty around benefits and harms:
5.	Describe how, if applicable, the PDA includes use of probabilities, and why used/not used:

E: Design for Diverse Patient Populations

1. Describe whether and how you have considered a wide range of perspectives among diverse populations in the development of this PDA:	Responses to the following will be used for informational purposes only.							
	patient							
2. Describe whether the PDA is available in multiple languages, and design steps, if any, taken the PDA will be understood by those with no/limited English proficiency, including but not little following:								
 Qualifications of the translators (including certification in health care translation) 								
 Cultural adaptation (either of the translated content or of the source English content to inclusive of diverse populations) 	be more							
 Quality assurance process, including review by target language-speaking medical exper 	ts							

F: Evaluation Practices Responses to the following will be used for informational purposes only.
Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs improve the match between patient values and the chosen option? How?

2.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients improve knowledge about options' features? How?
3.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs helps patients recognize that a decision needs to be made? How?
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	
3.	

4.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients clarify option features that matter most to them? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?
5.	Did you evaluate whether this or similar PDAs help patients discuss values with their provider? How?





Attachment A Application for Certification of Patient Decision Aid Applicant Debarment, Suspension and Exclusion Checklist

Complete this section by checking yes or no for each question. A response is required. If you answered "yes" to any of the questions in section A, complete section B.

A.	Has the applicant organization, project lead(s) or any team member:	Yes	No
1.	Had exclusion under Medicare, Medicaid or any other federal health care program taken against them?		
2.	Had civil money penalties or assessment imposed under Section 1128A of the Social Security Act?		
3.	Had a restriction or sanction imposed on their professional license, accreditation or certification?		
4.	Had a program exclusion taken against them? More info: http://exclusions.oig.hhs.gov and https://www.sam.gov		
5.	Been convicted of any health-related crimes as defined by the Washington State Department of Health? RCW 18.130.180; and WAC 246-16.		
6.	Been convicted of a criminal office as described in Section 1128A of the Social Security Act?		

	If '	vou answered "	'ves" to a	nv of the	auestions listed	under Section A
--	------	----------------	------------	-----------	------------------	-----------------

Report final adverse legal action history, including each final legal adverse action, when it occurred, the federal or state agency or the court/administrative body that imposed the action, and the resolution, if any. Attach a copy of the relevant final legal adverse action documents.

detron decaments.			
Final Adverse Legal Action	Date	Taken By	Resolution



VEC



Attachment B Conflict of Interest Disclosure

All team members must disclose any potential sources of conflict of interest. Any interest, financial or otherwise, that might be perceived as influencing a team member's objectivity is considered a potential source of conflict of interest. This must be disclosed when directly relevant or indirectly related to the content of the decision aid under review. Potential sources of conflict of interest include but are not limited to patent or stock ownership, membership of an organizational board of directors, membership of an advisory board or committee for an organization or group, and consultancy for or receipt of speaker's fees from an organization or group. The existence of a conflict of interest does not preclude consideration of a decision aid for certification, but it must be disclosed. Failure to disclose may result in loss of certification.

If the team members have no conflict of interest to declare, they must also state this using this form. It is responsibility of the decision aid developer lead to review this policy with all team members, and to assure all members fully and accurately complete this form. This requirement may be met by having all team members submit one form, or by submitting multiple forms.

_								
	NO							
	_							
	YES							

Do you or any of your team members have a conflict of interest to declare?

If yes, please have the relevant team member(s) provide their name and details below:

I certify that the information given above is complete and accurate.

Developer lead:			
	Name	Signature	Date
Team member:			
	Name	Signature	Date





Attachment C Evidence Table Format

Each study submitted as part of the evidence package should be summarized in accordance with the evidence table format below.

See steps 1-4 under Part 3: Supporting Evidence

Title	Citation and Publication Dates	Study Design	Description of the Treatments or Interventions Evaluated	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	Sample Size	Results	Statistical Assessment (P-Value)
Study 1							
Study 2							
Study 3							
Study 4							