Good morning Chair Stephenson, ---- members of the committee. My name is Thaddeus Pope I am a law professor ---- at Mitchell Hamline School of Law ---- in Saint Paul, Minnesota. I have **published** ---- over 300 articles ---- and two books --on end-of-life decision making. I speak in **favor** of the bill ---- in my personal capacity. I address just **two** ---- sections of H.F. 1930 Article I section 11. Article II section 1. These two sections address the **relationship** ---of this healthcare option ---to life insurance and health insurance. These 2 sections provide that insurance policies ----cannot be conditioned upon ---- or affected by ---use or non-use of medical aid in dying.

These sections are standard and widely used in three contexts
First, these sections are common in the MAID statutes of 11 other states
Second, these sections are also common in the more than 20 bills on MAID now being considered by other state legislatures
Third, these sections parallel those in the 1993 Minn. Stat. 145C.
That statute addresses healthcare decisions and advance directives.
Like the bill before you, 145C addresses actions taken by healthcare professionals that end patients' lives at their request.
It makes good sense to have parallel provisions in both statutes.

Like H.F. 1930, 145C.12 prohibits insurance policies
from being affected
by individual's healthcare treatment choices.
Minnesota patients use section 145C every day.
When terminally or seriously ill,
patients withhold or withdraw life-sustaining treatment.
and that typically causes their death.
145C prohibits health and life insurers
from considering such choices
in whether or how they write insurance policies.
H.F. 1930 similarly prohibits health and life insurers
from considering patients' choices for MAID
in whether or how they write insurance policies.
A patient's choice for MAID must be free and voluntary.
It must not be influenced or coerced
by their insurance company.
Thank you.