

CM-110

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Bruce M. Brusavich, SBN 93578/Terry S. Schneier, SBN 118322	
AGNEWBRUSAVICH, 20355 Hawthorne Blvd., 2nd Fl.	
Torrance, CA 90503	
<u>}</u> :	!
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 793-1400 FAX NO. (Optional): (310) 793-1499 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs LATASHA NAILAH SPEARS WINKFIELD; et al.	FILED
	FILLID
STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street, 3rd Floor	LAMEDA COUNTY
MAILING ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street, 3rd Floor	FEB 2 8 2018
CI FR	K OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	Cichille P
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield; et al.	Deputy
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Frederick S. Rosen, M.D.; et al.	
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	RG 15760730
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000	
exceeds \$25,000) or less)	:
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Date: March 16, 2018 Time: 2:30 p.m. Dept.: "517"	liv.: Room:
Address of court (if different from the address above):	100111
Address of court (if different from the address above).	
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Bruce M. Brusavich an	d/or Terry S. Schneier
The state of the blad aviolation of the blad aviolation and	dioi reity 6. Germeiei
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	information must be provided.
1. Party or parties (answer one):	
a. This statement is submitted by party (name): Plaintiffs LATASHA NAIL	AH SPEARS WINKFIELD; et al.
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
	•
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants	s anká
a. The complaint was filed on (date): February 2, 2015	s only)
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
	·
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	na dia kaominina dia kaomi
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, l	have appeared, or have been dismissed.
b The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been d	lismissed (specify names):
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	,
c. L. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of invertible they may be served):	volvement in case, and date by which
Unknown at this time. They may not be dismissed or severed pursi 68616(h).	uant to Government Code Section
4 Description of case	
	cluding causes of action):
Medical Malpractice	

CW-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield; et al.				
		RG 15760730		
L_D	EFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Frederick S. Rosen, M.D.; et al.			
4.	b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amo earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, After surgery Jahi bled for several hours while her mother and grandmo asked for a doctor. Jahi finally coded and her heart stopped. Defendar brain dead. Plaintiffs contend Jahi suffered severe brain damage but definition of clinical brain death.	unt], estimated future medical expenses, lost describe the nature of the relief.) ther (a nurse) watched and repeatedly its contend she was pronounced clinically		
	[(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as At	echment 4b.)		
5:	Jury or nonjury trial	nan one party, provide the name of each party		
6.	Trial date			
٠.	a. The trial has been set for (date):			
	 b. No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 month not, explain): This case is complex. c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates a) 			
	Trial: 3/26/18; 4/19/18; 4/23/18; 5/30/18; 6/1/18; 6/4/18; 6/12/18; 6/14/1 12/31/18; 2/4/19			
7.	Estimated length of trial The party of partial selimete that the trial will take (cheek and):	:		
	The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one): a. days (specify number): 45 days			
	a. days (specify number): 45 days b. hours (short causes) (specify):			
	B. Lindis (short dagodd) (dgodny).			
8.		in the caption by the following:		
9.	Preference			
	This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):			
10.	Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)			
	a. ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are avail the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information and community programs in this case.	able in different courts and communities; read tion about the processes available through the		
	(1) For parties represented by counsel. Counsel has has not print in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ovided the ADR information package identified		
	(2) For self-represented parties: Party has has not reviewed the Al	OR information package identified in rule 3.221		
	b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).	·		
:	(1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of C mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the a statutory limit.	ivil Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action mount in controversy does not exceed the		
	(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	t recovery to the amount specified in Code of		
	(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Ca mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify			
	,	į		

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield; et al.	CASE NUMBER:	
Eatasha (Vallar) opears (Vinklield, et al.	RG 15760730	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Frederick S. Rosen, M.D.; et al.	1000000	,
	<u> </u>	

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in *(check all that apply and provide the specified information)*:

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation	. 🔽	Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):

		CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield; et al.	CASE NUMBER:	·
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Frederick S. Rosen, M.D., et al.	RG 15760730	
11. Insurance		•
a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name):	•	
b. Reservation of rights: Yes No		
c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain	n):	
	•	
•		
12. Jurisdiction		
Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this	case and describe the status.	
Bankruptcy Other (specify):		
Status:		
£		:
13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination		<i>;</i>
a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases.		
(1) Name of case: Jahi McMath, a minor; et al. v. State of Califo		
(2) Name of court: United States District Court for the Northern I	District of California	
(3) Case number: 4:15-cv-06042 (4) Status: Pending		
Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a.	•	;
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		*.
b. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be fi	led by (name party):	
14. Bifurcation		
The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons): Plaintiffs had filed a motion to bifurcate the issue of whether the destatutory definition of death. The Court took the motion off calendary See Attachment 14 a) for more. The party or parties are not to file the following motions before trial (are significantly as a second to file the following motions before trial (are significantly as a second to file the following motions before trial (are significantly as a second to file the following motions before trial (are significantly as a second to file the following motions).	etermination of death guidelines meet the ar in response to Ex Parte Application b	ne by def.
The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specifi	y moving party, type of motion, and issues):	
		i
AO BUSS AS		
16. Discovery		4
 a The party or parties have completed all discovery. b. The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (described) 	ribo all anticipated discovery):	
Party Description	<u>Date</u>	
Plaintiffs All discovery allowed pure Civil Procedure, including interrogatories, request for admissions and documer	g depositions, or production, request for	ï
Plaintiffs Expert Discovery	Per Code	
The faller has discourse in the state of the	any of electronically stored information, are	•
anticipated (specify):	ny or oleotromouny olered information, die	

CW-110 CASE NUMBER: Latasha Nailah Spears Winkfield; et al. PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: RG 15760730 Frederick S. Rosen, M.D.; et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: 17. Economic litigation a. ____ This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the economic litigation procedures or for additional discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial should not apply to this case): 18. Other issues The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered or determined at the case management conference (specify): 19. Meet and confer a. The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court (if not, explain): There have been meet and confers for prior CMC hearings and nothing has changed. b. After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following (specify): 20. Total number of pages attached (if any): I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required. Date: February 4, 2018 BRUCE M. BRUSAVICH (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Additional signatures are attached

M	C.	n.	2	5
W		- 1.0	•	

			·	1010-020
SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:		1 •
McMath v. Rosen, et al.			RG 15760730	

ATTACHMENT (Number): 14a

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)-

When this Court issued its ruling on September 5, 2017 denying the summary judgment motion, it noted that "a triable issue of fact exists as to whether McMath currently satisfies the statutory definition of 'dead' under the Uniform Determination of Death Act," Public Safety Code section 7180(a). Given that finding, plaintiff began working on a Motion to Bifurcate the issue of whether or not the guidelines utilized to determine Jahi dead, the ANN and AAP Guidelines, meet the statutory definition of "dead" under the Uniform Determination of Death Act. A hearing date of March 8, 2018 was reserved on December 13, 2017 and the motion itself was served by mail on December 18, 2017.

The Court held a Case Management Conference the following day on December 19, 2017. The Court noted that someone had reserved a hearing date for a motion on March 8, 2018 and inquired about it. Plaintiffs' counsel advised the Court that they had filed a Motion to Bifurcate the issue of whether or not the Determination of Death Guidelines meet the statutory definition of death. After further discussion, the Court set a further Case Management Conference for March 16, 2018, noting that at that time the Court intended work on developing a discovery and trial plan. Plaintiffs' counsel was not ordered, nor even requested, to take the March 8, 2018 motion off calendar or to continue it.

Plaintiffs' counsel believed that the Motion to Bifurcate would provide the appropriate preparation for the major Case Management Conference on March 16, 2018, in that it would focus the course of this litigation on what plaintiffs believe to be the next, logical step. However, there was an ex parte application to continue the March 8, 2018 hearing, many of the papers incorrectly claiming that plaintiff had filed the motion and obtained the March 8, 2018 hearing date until after the December 19, 2017 CMC, which was not correct. The Court took the motion off calendar to be set later.

Plaintiffs' counsel has been advised that some of the defense lawyers would like to see the Court Order another determination of death using the guidelines, which would have to be done at a hospital in New Jersey. Such testing would be inappropriate for at least two reasons.

First, such an examination of Jahi would violate California Code of Civil Procedure section 2032.220(a)(1) in that the test would subject Jahi to grave injury or death. The guidelines call for the subject person's respirator to be disconnected for 10 minutes. During this period of time, disconnecting Jahi's oxygen supply would increase the carbon dioxide in her blood and could lead to respiratory acidosis and then metabolic acidosis which could cause serious heart arrhythmias or complete arrest. It would therefore be inappropriate for the Court to order such a test.

Secondly, the defense would be asking for a determinative death evaluation utilizing the guidelines before this Court would rule as to whether or not the guidelines meet the statutory definition of death or, in the alternative, if Jahi does not meet the statutory definition of death, regardless of what the guidelines say.

The notion that the current guidelines for the determination of death may not be appropriate after more than 30 years of use is not novel. The New Yorker Magazine just published on February 5, 2018, a thorough discussion on the subject based upon the McMath case, entitled, "The Death Debate" by Rachel Aviv, which documents the Jahi

(If the item that this Attachment concerns it	s made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this
Attachment are made under negative of neg	(viui:

1	Page _	of _	<u> </u>
1	Add nad	noë se mau	irod)

					MC-02
SHORT TITLE:		,		CASE NUMBER:	
McMath v. Rösen, et	al.	·		RG 15760	0730
		ATTACHMENT	(Number): <u>14</u>	a	
	(This Attachme	ent may be used wit	h any Judicial (Council form.)	
Continuation.					
			•	·	
McMath matter.		•			ı
Harvard Medical Schoo 11-13, 2018 at its Bosto the Controversial Case of plaintiffs' consultant, Al	n campus. The enti of Jahi McMath." I	re symposium w nvited to speak a	vill begin wit	h a segment entitled, "B	rain Death an
\frac{1}{2}	`				
			·		
					:
,					•
İ					
i					
				. •	
		•			1
,					
1		•		·	٠
					;
					* .
				•	
		i.			
	•	,		. •	*
!					
					i F
•				•	

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page of (Add pages as required)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is AGNEW BRUSAVICH, 20355 Hawthorne Blvd., 2nd Floor, Torrance, California. On February 28, 2018, I served the within document **CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

- by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Torrance, California, addressed as set forth below:
- by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s), and caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand delivery addressed pursuant to the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by electronic service. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission. I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses as set forth below:

Andrew N. Chang ESNER, CHANG & BOYER Southern California Office 234 East Colorado Boulevard Suite 975 Pasadena, CA 91101 achang@ecbappeal.com	ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS LATASHA NAILAH SPEARS WINKFIELD; MARVIN WINIKFIELD; SANDREA CHATMANH; and JAHI McMATH, a minor, by and through her Guardian ad Litem, LATASHA NAILAH SPEARS WINKFIELD
	(626) 535-9860 FAX (626) 535-9859
Thomas E. Still Jennifer Still HINSHAW, MARSH, STILL & HINSHAW 12901 Saratoga Avenue Saratoga, CA 95070-9998 tstill@hinshaw-law.com jstill@hinshaw-law.com	ATTORNEYS FOR FREDERICK S. ROSEN, M.D. (408) 861-6500 FAX (408) 257-6645
Richard Carroll CARROLL KELLY TROTTER FRANZEN McBRIDE & PEABODY 111 West Ocean Boulevard 14 th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 rdcarroll@cktfm.com	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT UCSF BENOIFF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL (562) 432-5855 FAX (562) 432-8785

	1	Scott E. Murray Vanessa L. Efremsky	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JAMES PATRICK HOWARD, M.D., Ph.D.
	2	Vanessa L. Efremsky DONNELLY NELSON DEPOLO MURRAY & EFREMSKY	
	3	A Professional Corporation 201 North Civic Drive, Suite 239	
	4	Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3879 Smurray@dndmlawyers.com	(925) 287-8181
	5	vefremsky@dndmlawyers.com	FAX (925) 287-8188
•	6	Robert W. Hodges	ATTORNEY FOR ROBERT M. WESMAN,
	7	MCNAMARA NĚY BEATTY SLATTERY BORGES & AMBACKER, LLP	M.D.
1	8	3480 Buskirk Avenue Suite 250	
1	9	Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 robert.hodges@mcnamaralaw.com	(925) 939-5330
m	10	karen merick@mcnamaralaw.com	FAX (925) 939-0203
E, CA 90503	11	Thomas J. Doyle	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT ALICIA
	12	Sarah Gosling SCHUERING ZIMMERMAN & DOYLE,	HERRERA, M.D.
VICH WYERS RRANCE, (13	LLP 400 University Avenue	,
USAVI RY LAWY TORR F. (310)	14	Sacramento, CA 95825-6502 tid@szs.com	(916) 567-0400
W BR INJÚ BLVD 100	15	scg@szs.com	FAX (916) 568-0400
AGNEW SERIOUS IN WTHORNE BL	16	Kenneth R. Pedroza	ASSOCIATE COUNSEL FOR FREDERICK
SE AWTH (310)	17	Dana L. Stenvick COLE PEDROZA LLP	S. ROSEN, M.D. and UCSF BENIOFF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OAKLAND
355 H	18	2670 Mission Street Suite 200	
20351	19	San Marino, CA 91108 kpedroza@colepedroza.com	(626) 431-2787
	20	dstenvick@colepedroza.com	FAX (626) 431-2788
	21	I am readily familiar with the firm's practi	ces of collection and processing
,	22	correspondence for mailing. Under that U.S. Postal Service on that same day with	postage thereon fully prepaid in the
	23	ordinary course of business. I am aware service is presumed invalid if post cancel	llation date or postage meter date is
	24	more than one day after date of deposit	
	25	(State) I declare under penalty of p California that the above is true an	perjury under the laws of the State of and correct.
	26		

26

27

28

Executed this 28th day of February, 2018, at Torrance, California.

DEBBIE NAWA

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at which direction the service was made.