Table of Contents

| | | | Page |
|----|-------|---|---|
| | | | V |
| | | LEDGMENTS | ix |
| | | F Cases | xxix |
| | | d Bioethics: An Introduction | 1 |
| | | and Real Life: Being Sick | 1 |
| 2. | Bioe | thics: Ethical Principles, Regulatory Strategies | 5 |
| | A. | The Field of Bioethics: Its Emergence and Subject Matter Eric J. Cassell, The Principles of the Belmont Report Revisited: How Have Respect for Persons, Beneficence, And Justice Been | 5 |
| | | Applied to Clinical Medicine? | 5 |
| | | Questions | 9 |
| | B. | Ethical Theories and Vocabulary | 11 |
| | ъ. | Edmund D. Pellegrino, The Metamorphosis of Medical Ethics: A 30-Year Retrospective | 11 |
| | | Questions | 14 |
| | C. | The Triumph of Autonomy and the Critique of Contemporary Medicine | 14 |
| | | Eric J. Cassell, The Principles of the Belmont Report Revisited | 16 |
| | D. | Marshalling the Evidence | 20 |
| | | Questions | 23 |
| | E. | Developing a Regulatory Response | $\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 25 \end{array}$ |
| Cl | hapte | er 1. The Principle of Autonomy: The Example of Informed Consent | 27 |
| 1 | | Doctrine of Informed Consent | 27 |
| 1. | A. | Introduction | 27 |
| | A. | 1. An Introductory Case | 28 |
| | | Canterbury v. Spence | 28 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Canterbury | 38 |
| | | 2. The Bad Old Days | 41 |
| | | Cornelius & Kathryn Morgan Ryan, A Private Battle | $\frac{11}{41}$ |
| | B. | The Principles of Informed Consent | 44 |
| | _, | 1. Is There a Duty? | 45 |
| | | (a) The Reasonable Patient or the Respectable Doctor? | 45 |
| | | (b) The Exceptions | 49 |
| | | (i) Emergencies | 49 |
| | | (ii) The Therapeutic Exception | 51 |
| | | 2. Was the Duty Breached? | 51 |
| | | 3. Did the Breach of the Duty Cause the Injury? | 52 |
| | | o, più mio piodoli di mio pany Cause me Illiuly, | - 04 |

| 1 | The | Doctrine of Informed Consent—Continued |
|----|-------|---|
| Ι. | THE | 4. What Must Be Disclosed? |
| | | Michael Landor, A Hidden Agenda |
| | | Notes and Questions on A Hidden Agenda |
| | | The Problem of Peggy Pauling |
| | | Notes and Questions on the Peggy Pauling Problem |
| | C. | Two Comparisons |
| | | 1. Failure to Warn of Products' Risks |
| | | Notes and Questions on Doctrinal Collapse in Product Liability |
| | | 2. Informed Consent for Lawyers: Why the Difference? Notes and Questions on Informed Consent, Lawyers, and Doctors |
| | D. | Law and Real Life: Informed Consent as a Paper Tiger |
| 2. | Vinc | licating Patients' Autonomy |
| | A. | Introduction: Law and Real Life |
| | | 1. The Law in Books and the Law in Action |
| | | 2. An Introductory Case |
| | | K.A.C. v. Benson |
| | _ | Notes and Questions on Benson |
| | B. | Why Do We Value Autonomy? Autonomy as a Moral Duty |
| | C. | Can Informed Consent Work? Do Patients Want to Make Medical Decisions? |
| | | 1. Empirical Information About Patients' Preferences |
| | | 2. Do Patients Know What They Want? False |
| | | Consciousness and Medical Decisions |
| | D. | Can Informed Consent Work? Do Patients Want to Receive |
| | | Information? |
| | | 1. An Introductory Case |
| | | Arato v. Avedon |
| | | Notes and Questions on Arato and the Truth |
| | | 2. Empirical Evidence About Patients' Preferences |
| 3. | Pati | ents' Autonomy and Patients' Health |
| | A. | Introduction |
| | | 1. An Introductory Case |
| | | Truman v. Thomas |
| | | Notes and Questions on Truman |
| | | 2. How Might Informed Consent Improve Health? |
| | B. | Can Informed Consent Work? Of Time and the Giver |
| | C. | Can Informed Consent Work? Do Patients Understand and |
| | | Remember What They Are Told? |
| | D. | Can Informed Consent Work? How Well Do Patients Make |
| | | Medical Decisions? |
| 4. | Is In | formed Consent Effective? Regulating Medical Practice |
| • | A. | Stating the Problem |
| | | 1. An Introductory Case |
| | | Johnson v. Kokemoor |
| | | Notes and Questions on Kokemoor |

| | | Page |
|----|--|------------|
| 4. | Is Informed Consent Effective? Regulating Medical Practice— Continued | . ugo |
| | B. Must Informed Consent Work? How Well Do Doctors | |
| | Make Medical Decisions? | 133 |
| | C. Informed Consent and the Regulation of Medicine | 136 |
| | 1. Evaluating Informed Consent | 136 |
| | Peter H. Schuck, Rethinking Informed Consent | 137 |
| | 2. Fixing Informed Consent | 141 |
| | (a) A "Dignitary" Proposal | 142 |
| | Alan Meisel, A "Dignitary Tort" as a Bridge Between the Idea of Informed Consent and the Law of Informed | 149 |
| | Consent | 142 |
| | (b) Instrumental Proposals | 143 143 |
| | (c) Skeptical Proposals | 143 |
| | Carl E. Schneider, From Consumer Choice to Consumer Welfare | 144 |
| 5. | Thinking About Themes: Individual Autonomy and Social Regulation | 146 |
| 6 | Doctor and Patient in Context: The Problem of Confidentiality | 150 |
| ٥. | A. The Duty of Confidentiality | 150 |
| | McCormick v. England | 150 |
| | Notes and Questions on McCormick | 153 |
| | B. The Duty of Confidentiality and Threats to Others | 159 |
| | Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California | 159 |
| | A Note on the Subsequent History of Tarasoff | 163 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Rationale of Tarasoff | 164 168 |
| | C. The Duty of Confidentiality and Obligations to Others | 175 |
| | D. The National Administrative Regulation of Privacy: | 178 |
| | Department of Health and Human Services, Standards for Priva- | 170 |
| | cy of Individually Identifiable Health Information | 180 |
| | Notes and Questions on HIPAA | 184 |
| | E. Two Problems: Terrorism and Genetic Information | 185 |
| | 1. Terrorism | 185 |
| | Peter P. Swire & Lauren B. Steinfeld, Security and Privacy | 405 |
| | After September 11: The Health Care Example | 185 |
| | Notes and Questions on Security and Privacy | 187 |
| | 2. Genetic Information | 187 |
| | ism: Do We Need Special Genetics Legislation? | 187 |
| Cł | napter 2. The Principle of Autonomy: Law at the End of Life | 190 |
| | Introduction | 190 |
| | Carl E. Schneider, The Road to Glucksberg | 190 |
| 1 | Defining | 193 |
| -• | A. An Introductory Problem | 193 |
| | B. Brain Death | 195 |
| | 1. The Brain and the Heart | 195 |
| | In Re Welfare of Bowman | 195 |

| Defining—Continued | to another of Transition II to 1 and 1 |
|------------------------|---|
| | iversity of Hutchins Hospital and the |
| 2. The Whole Brain? |) |
| | |
| | ns on Brain Death and Anencephalic In |
| | |
| 3. Autonomy and the | e Definition of Death |
| New Jersey Declara | tion of Death Act |
| | Task Force On Life and the Law, The |
| | Deathable Definitions of Death |
| | fe: Do Medical Personnel Understand |
| | |
| Street Vousses of | t al, "Brain Death" and Organ Retrieval |
| | |
| | ding Problem |
| | D |
| | Dying |
| | Stop |
| | ony: A True Story |
| | Perspective |
| Medical Prof | n, Intensive Care: Medical Ethics and the fession |
| • | fluence |
| | n, Intensive Care: Medical Ethics and the |
| Medical Prot | ession |
| | tmore |
| Notes and Ques | stions on Naramore |
| 2. Do-Not-Resuscita | ate Orders |
| | ooks |
| New York Cons | solidated Laws Article 29–B Orders Not to |
| Resuscitate - | |
| | ction |
| Notes and $Quest$ | stions on DNR Orders |
| B. When the Patient Is | Not Dying |
| 1. Intolerable Lives | |
| | and a Comment |
| Bouvia v. Supe | rior Court |
| | stedt |
| | n, Paternalism |
| | uvia: The Problem of Suicide |
| | ouvia: Autonomy, Competence, and |
| Decisions | |
| | Health Professionals, Disability, and As- |
| sisted Suicid | |
| Notes and Que | stions on Health Professionals, Disability Suicide |
| | |
| | uvia: The Claims of Community |
| | tional Situations |
| Davia Jackson | & Stuart Youngner, Patient Autonomy With Dignity" |
| | blem |
| | NICIII |
| 9 Policiona Dutica | |

| ດ | Ston | ping—Continued | Page |
|----|------|---|-------------------|
| ۷. | Stop | In Re Matter of Dubreuil | 271 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Dubreuil | |
| 3. | Plan | ning | |
| | A. | An Introductory Problem: The Case of Paula Peters | 275 |
| | В. | The Basics of Advance Directives: Background | 277 |
| | | 1. The Statutory Background | 277 |
| | | (a) State Statutes | 278 |
| | | (b) Federal Statutes | |
| | | 2. The Constitutional Argument | |
| | | 3. Enforcing Advance Directives | |
| | | Duarte v. Chino Community Hospital | 283 |
| | | Klavan v. Crozer-Chester Medical Center | 288 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Duarte and Klavan | |
| | C. | Drafting a Living Will | |
| | | 1. The Early Living Wills: Generality | |
| | | 2. The Later Living Wills: Specificity | 291 |
| | | Notes and Questions on The Medical Directive | 292 |
| | | 3. Crisis and Compromise | |
| | | (a) The Values History | |
| | | Questions on the Values History | 296 |
| | | (b) Living Wills as Stimulants to Conversation | 296 |
| | | 4. Why is This so Hard? | 298 |
| | | Patricia D. White, Appointing a Proxy Under the Best of Circumstances | 298 |
| | | Questions on Appointing a Proxy | 300 |
| | D. | Law and Real Life: Do Living Wills Make Sense in Prac- | 000 |
| | ъ. | tice? | 300 |
| | | 1. A Problem | 300 |
| | | 2. Do People Have Living Wills? | 301 |
| | | (a) Do People Say They Want Living Wills? | 301 |
| | | (b) Do People Have Living Wills? | |
| | | (c) Why Don't People Have Living Wills? | |
| | | 3. Do People Know What They Want? | |
| | | 4. Can People Articulate What They Want? | |
| | | 5. Will Living Wills Be Available to Guide Decisions? | |
| | | 6. Do People Get What They Want? | |
| | | 7. Has the Patient Self–Determination Act Failed? | 313 |
| | | 8. Advance Directives and the Cost of End-of-Life Care | 316 |
| | | 9. The Problem Revisited | |
| | 173 | | 316 |
| | E. | Do Living Wills Make Sense in Principle? | 317 |
| | | 1. Two Criticisms | $\frac{317}{317}$ |
| | | Rebecca Dresser, Missing Persons: Legal Perceptions of In- | 917 |
| | | competent Patients | 321 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Composer Then and Composer Now | 323 |
| | | 2. A Concluding Problem | 323 |
| | | An Alert and Incompetent Self | 323 |
| | | Notes and Questions on An Alert and Incompetent Self | 324 |

| | | er 3. Killing | Page 326 | | | |
|----|------------|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | An l | Introductory Problem: The Case of Diane | 326 | | | |
| | A. | The Doctor States His Case | 326 | | | |
| | | Timothy E. Quill, Death and Dignity: Making Choices and Tak- | | | | |
| | | ing Charge | | | | |
| | ъ | Notes and Questions on Diane's Case | | | | |
| | В. | The Doctor's Case Analyzed | | | | |
| | | Patricia Wesley, Dying Safely Herbert Hendin, Seduced by Death: Doctors, Patients and the | 332 | | | |
| | | Dutch Cure | 337 | | | |
| | | Problems in Prosecutorial Discretion: Timothy Quill | | | | |
| 2. | Assi | Assisted Suicide as a Crime | | | | |
| | A. | Dr. Death and the Law | 34 | | | |
| | | People ex rel. Oakland County Prosecuting Attorney v. Kevorkian | 343 | | | |
| | | Michigan v. Kevorkian | 343 | | | |
| _ | | Problems in Prosecutorial Discretion: Jack Kevorkian | | | | |
| 3. | | sted Suicide as a Right | | | | |
| | A. | The Constitutional Background | 350 | | | |
| | ъ | Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health | | | | |
| | В. | The Right Affirmed | | | | |
| | | 1. Substantive Due Process | | | | |
| | | Compassion in Dying v. Washington Notes and Questions on Compassion in Dying (CID) | | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on the State Interests in CID | | | | |
| | | 2. Equal Protection | | | | |
| | | Quill v. Vacco | | | | |
| | C. | The Right Doubted | | | | |
| | О. | 1. The Supreme Court Speaks | | | | |
| | | (a) Substantive Due Process | | | | |
| | | Washington v. Glucksberg | | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Glucksberg | | | | |
| | | (b) Equal Protection | | | | |
| | | Vacco v. Quill | | | | |
| | | 2. The Opinions in Perspective | 420 | | | |
| | | (a) The Question of Institutional Competence | | | | |
| | | Carl E. Schneider, Making Sausage | 42 | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Institutional Competence | 423 | | | |
| | | Quill v. Vacco | | | | |
| | | (b) The Right in International Context | 42 | | | |
| | | Pretty v. The United Kingdom | | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Pretty Carl E. Schneider, America as Pattern and Problem | $\frac{428}{429}$ | | | |
| | D. | Assisted Suicide as an Entitlement: Oregon and Holland | 43 | | | |
| | D . | | 43 | | | |
| | | 1. The Statutory Basis | 43. | | | |
| | | Suicide Statutes | 438 | | | |
| | | Office of Public Prosecutions v. Chabot | | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Chabot | | | | |
| | | 2. The Legal Challenges | | | | |
| | | Lee v. Oregon | 442 | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Lee v. Oregon | | | | |
| | | Oregon v. Ashcroft | 44 | | | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Oregon v. Ashcroft | 450 | | | |

| | | TABLE OF CONTENTS | xxiii |
|----|--------|---|------------|
| | | | Page |
| 3 | Assis | ted Suicide as a Right—Continued | 5 |
| ٥. | 110010 | 3. The Practice of Assisted Suicide | 450 |
| | | Peter Reagan, Helen | |
| | | Herbert Hendin, Physician-Assisted Suicide: Reflections on | ı |
| | | Oregon's First Case | |
| | 12 | • | |
| | E. | The Problem of Euthanasia | |
| | | Michigan v. Kevorkian | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Kevorkian and Repouille | |
| | | Problems in Prosecutorial Discretion: Robert Latimer | |
| Cl | | r 4. Deciding for Others: Autonomy or Benefi- | |
| _ | | ence? | |
| 1. | Comp | petence: Its Meaning and Determination | 467 |
| | | v. Candura | |
| _ | | and Questions | |
| 2. | | nerly Competent Patients: Who Should Decide? What Stan- | |
| | daı | rds Should Govern? | |
| | A. | A Problem and Two Cases | |
| | | In re Conroy | |
| | | In re Martin | |
| | _ | Notes and Questions | |
| | В. | Substituted Judgment vs. Best Interests: Which Standard Should the Decision–Maker Employ? | |
| | | 1. Substituted Judgment: Are Patient Treatment Prefer- | |
| | | ences Stable? | |
| | | 2. Substituted Judgment: Does Stability of Preferences | |
| | | Matter? | |
| | | 3. The Best Interests of the Patient: A Concept Without Content? | |
| | | Notes and Questions | 512 |
| | C. | Who Should Decide? The Role of the Physician, the Fami- | |
| | | ly, and Public Authorities | |
| | | Conservatorship of Wendland | |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | D. | Treatment for Mental Illness: A Special Case? | |
| | | 1. The Law of Mental Health Treatment | |
| | | Steele v. Hamilton County Community Mental Health Board | |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | | 2. Antipsychotic Drugs—Risks and Benefits | |
| | | (a) Is There a Viable Alternative Treatment? | |
| | | (b) Do the Risks of Treatment Outweigh the Benefits? | 537 |
| | | (c) Balancing Risks vs. Benefits | 538 |
| | | 3. The Law of Involuntary Commitment—How Does It Work? Whom Does It Help? | ; |
| | | (a) Constitutional Standards | |
| | | | |
| | | (b) The Problem of "Revolving Door" Care | |
| | | (c) Outpatient Commitment—An Adequate Response? Notes and Questions | 541 542 |

| 2 | Dati | anta With Entres Commetones Making Madical Designa | Pa |
|----|--------|--|----|
| პ. | | ents With Future Competence: Making Medical Decisions: Children | 5 |
| | | | _ |
| | A. | Parental Decision Making and the Constitution | 5 |
| | | Parham v. J.R. | 5 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 5 |
| | В. | Parents' Rights and Children's Interests | 5 |
| | | James G. Dwyer, Parents' Religion and Children's Welfare: De- bunking the Doctrine of Parents' Rights | 5 |
| | | Stephen G. Gilles, Hey, Christians, Leave Your Kids Alone! | 5 |
| | | Carl E. Schneider, Rights Discourse and Neonatal Euthanasia In Re Green | 5 |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | | | |
| | | Hart v. Brown | |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | C. | The Case of the Older Child | |
| | | Notes and Questions | 5 |
| | D. | The Newborn Infant | 5 |
| | | Maine Medical Center v. Houle | 5 |
| | | On the Death of a Baby | |
| | | "I Am Not What You See" A Film Dialogue Between Sondra | |
| | | Diamond and Roy Bonisteel | |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | E. | A Final Problem | |
| | | | |
| • | Patie | ents Without Former Or Future Competence | 6 |
| | | rintendent of Belchertown State School v. Saikewicz | |
| | | Storar | 6 |
| | | and Questions | 6 |
| • | | Participation of Mentally Incapacitated Patients in Medical search | 6 |
| | | | |
| | A. | Current Legal Standards | 6 |
| | | Diane E. Hoffman & Jack Schwartz, Proxy Consent to Participation of the Decisionally Impaired in Medical Research—Maryland's Policy Initiative | 6 |
| | | Grimes v. Kennedy Krieger Institute, Inc. | 6 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 6 |
| | | Protecting Incapacitated Research Subjects—Existing Mechanisms and How Well They Work | |
| | | Risk—How Much is Too Much? | 6 |
| | | Alternative Regulatory Strategies | 6 |
| | D | | |
| | В. | Nuremberg And Autonomy | 6 |
| | | Robert Burt, The Suppressed Legacy of Nuremberg | 6 |
| | | Notes and Questions | |
| | Disp | ute Resolution Procedures | 6 |
| | Notes | s and Questions | 6 |
| ŀ | apte | er 5. The Body as Commodity | 6 |
| | _ | oduction: The Body as an Object of Exchange | 6 |
| • | | Whitman, O My Body! | 6 |
| | | Body, My Property? | |
| • | | e v. Regents of the University of California | |
| | | e v. Regents of the University of Californiat v. Superior Court (Kane) | |
| | | and Questions | |
| | rvotes | unu quesilons | U |

| 1 | Moto | ernal–Fetal Conflict |
|----|------|---|
| Τ. | mate | |
| | | 1. When May The State Intervene? What Standards |
| | | Should Govern? |
| | | Deborah Mathieu, Preventing Prenatal Harm: Should The State Intervene? |
| | | In Re A.C. |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | | 2. Prenatal Substance Abuse |
| | | Ira J. Chasnoff, Silent Violence: Is Prevention a Moral Obli- |
| | | gation |
| | | Wisconsin Ex Rel. Angela M.W. v. Kruzicki |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| 2. | Choo | osing Our Children |
| | A. | Tort Liability for Genetic Testing and Manipulation |
| | | Turpin v. Sortini |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | B. | Regulating Parental Choice |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| 3. | Tech | nological Conception |
| | A. | The Status of the Preembryo |
| | | 1. Progenitor Rights |
| | | Davis v. Davis |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | | 2. Research Using Preembryos |
| | | Lifchez v. Hartigan |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | B. | Parental Rights and Obligations |
| | | Johnson v. Calvert |
| | | Moschetta v. Moschetta |
| | | In Re Buzzanca |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | C. | Regulating Technological Conception |
| | | John A. Robertson, Two Models of Human Cloning |
| | | Marsha Garrison, Law Making for Baby Making: An Interpretive |
| | | Approach to the Determination Of Legal Parentage Notes and Questions |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| Ch | ante | r 7. Autonomy in a Bureaucratic World |
| | | eating Medical Resources: Who Should Decide? What Crite- |
| ٠. | | Should We Use? |
| | | U.S. Medical Spending: What We Pay and What We Get |
| | A. | |
| | | 1. What We Spend: Costs and Causes |
| | | 2. What We Get: Health Care and Access Inequalities |
| | | 3. The U.S. Health Care System in Context |
| | B. | Providing Adequate Health Care—How Should We Decide |
| | | What Treatments Are Necessary? |
| | | 1. Principles and Process |
| | | AMA Council on Ethical And Judicial Affairs, Ethical, Issues |
| | | in Health Care System Reform: The Provision of Adequate |
| | | Health Care |
| | | 2. Real-World Rationing: Disputed Data, Imperfect Institutions |
| | | Notes and Questions |
| | | 110000 and queonono |

| | | | Page |
|----|-------|--|--------------|
| 1. | | ating Medical Resources: Who Should Decide? What Crite-Should We Use? | 885 |
| | C. | The Allocation Problem in Microcosm: The Case of Organ | |
| | | Transplants | 910 |
| | | cy Notes and Questions | $913 \\ 923$ |
| | D. | Allocating Health Care Resources: Who Should Decide? | 928 |
| | Ъ. | 1. The Patient as Rationing Agent | 929 |
| | | 2. The Physician as Rationing Agent | 931 |
| | | (a) Rationing at the Bedside | 931 |
| | | Norman G. Levinsky, Truth or Consequences Peter A. Ubel, Pricing Life: Why It's Time for Health | 931 |
| | | Care Rationing | 935 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 937 |
| | | (b) Medical Futility: Physician Discretion vs. Patient | 000 |
| | | Autonomy In re Wanglie | 939 939 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 941 |
| | | 3. Contract–Rationing by Employers and Insurers | 952 |
| | | Smith v. Newport, News Shipbuilding Health Plan, Inc | 953 |
| | | Zervos v. Verizon, N.Y., Inc. & Empire Healthchoice, Inc. | 958 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 962 968 |
| | | 5. Rationing by Government | 970 |
| | | (b) Social Insurance | 972 |
| | | Questions | 973 |
| 2. | The l | Patient in the Bureaucracy: Ethics, Managed Care, And the | |
| | | ols of Regulation | 974 |
| | A. | The Rise of Bureaucracy | 974 |
| | B. | Medical Ethics in the Age of Bureaucracy: An Introductory | |
| | | Case | 977 |
| | | 1. Stating the Problem: Conflicts of Interest in the Age of | |
| | | Managed Care | 977 |
| | | Pegram v. Herdrich | 978 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Pegram | 989 |
| | | 2. How Managed Care Organizations Attempt to Control Costs | 994 |
| | | Henry T. Greely, Direct Financial Incentives in Managed | 994 |
| | | Care: Unanswered Questions | 994 |
| | | Notes and Questions on Direct Financial Incentives in Managed Care | 997 |
| | | 3. Regulating Managed Care: The Problem and a Comparison | 999 |
| | | (a) The Problem | 999 |
| | | (b) The Comparison | 1000 |
| | C. | Enforcing Ethical Behavior: "Profession" | |
| | | Gail B. Agrawal, Resuscitating Professionalism: Self-Regulation | |
| | | in the Medical Marketplace | |
| | | 1. Professional Socialization———————————————————————————————————— | 1008 |
| | | Failure | 1008 |
| | | | 1017 |

| | | | D |
|----|---------------|---|------|
|) | The | Patient in the Bureaucracy: Ethics, Managed Care, And | Page |
| ٠. | | e Tools of Regulation—Continued | |
| | 611 | 2. The Conditions of Professional Practice | 1017 |
| | | Robert Zussman, Intensive Care: Medical Ethics and the | |
| | | Medical Profession | 1018 |
| | | 3. The Profession as Cartel | |
| | | | |
| | | (a) Constraints on Cartels: Antitrust Law | |
| | | sion | |
| | | Notes and Questions On CDA v. FTC | |
| | | (b) Constraints on Cartels: Interprofessional Competi- | |
| | | tion | |
| | | $Hall\ v.\ Anwar$ | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Hall v. Anwar | 1032 |
| | | 4. The Efficacy of Professional Regulation | 1036 |
| | | David Orentlicher, The Influence of a Professional Organiza- | |
| | | tion on Physician Behavior | |
| | | 5. Conflicts of Interest in the Age of Bureaucracy and the | ! |
| | | Claims of "Profession": Physicians as Fiduciaries | 1038 |
| | | Marc A. Rodwin, Strains in the Fiduciary Metaphor: Divided | ! |
| | | Physician Loyalties and Obligations in a Changing Health | |
| | | Care System | |
| | | Notes and Questions on the Physician as Fiduciary | |
| | D. | Enforcing Ethical Behavior: "Contract" and the Market | |
| | | Smith v. Newport, News Shipbuilding Health Plan, Inc | |
| | | Zervos v. Verizon New York, Inc. & Empire Healthchoice, Inc. | |
| | | Khajavi v. Feather River Anesthesia Medical Group | |
| | $\mathbf{E}.$ | Enforcing Ethical Behavior: "Tort" | |
| | | 1. Making the HMO Liable in Tort | |
| | | Petrovich v. Share Health Plan of Illinois | |
| | | A Note on Petrovich | |
| | | 2. Making the Physician Liable in Tort | 1068 |
| | | Wickline v. California | |
| | | $A\ Question\ on\ Wickline$ | |
| | F. | Enforcing Ethical Behavior: "Regulation" | |
| | | David A. Hyman, Regulating Managed Care: What's Wrong With | |
| | | a Patient Bill of Rights | |
| | | Notes and Questions on the Patients' Bill of Rights | |
| | | Newborns' and Mothers' Health Protection Act of 1996 David A. Hyman, Drive-Through Deliveries: Is "Consumer Pro- | 1083 |
| | | tection" Just What The Doctor Ordered? | |
| | | Notes and Questions on Drive–Through Deliveries | |
| | | Tiones and questions on Dine-Iniough Deliveries | 1000 |